

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,111	07/10/2003	Vincent Edward Norment	1858	
7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Vincent E. Norment			MORAN, KATHERINE M	
219 B. Davey Street				
Bloomfield, N.	07003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A			
. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
	10/616,111	NORMENT, VINCE	ENT EDWARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Katherine M Moran	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EVOIDE 2 M	ONTH/S) EDOM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replectified above in the maximum statutory period in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTs, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	uly 2003.					
<i>,</i>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.		,				
4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		*			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		•	Stage			
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	received.				
Attachmont/o)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO —·)-152)			

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the drawings of Figures 5b,c, 6b,c, 7b,c 8a,b, 9, and 10 appear to be a photocopy of the claimed invention. 37 CFR 1.84 requires that the drawings be of India ink or its equivalent to ensure solid black lines.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 contain the trademark/trade name D-BAND. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves.

Art Unit: 3765

Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe an absorbent headband and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim. The claims refer to structures as shown in the Figures, but the claims themselves do not outline the particular structure of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eisenberg (U.S. 6,108,818). Eisenberg '818 discloses the invention as claimed. Eisenberg teaches an absorbent headband which inherently blocks a certain percentage of the sun's ultra violet rays when worn on the forehead.
- 1. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (CA 2,121,271). Smith discloses the invention as claimed. Smith teaches a headband 1 with crown bands 3-5 including novelty items 4.4,4.5 on the headband's surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,111 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eisenberg '818 in view of Checkeroski (U.S. 5,386,592). Eisenberg discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Eisenberg does not teach an absorbent headband with extra absorbent bands coming from the top and attaching to the first headband. Checkeroski teaches a headband configuration with a first band 36 and second bands 34 extending over the top of the wearer's head. This configuration provides a more secure and stable headband assembly which remains in position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the headband of Eisenberg with the extra bands as taught by Checkeroski to serve as reinforcing components to the first headband.
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eisenberg in view of McConville (U.S. 5,826,277). Eisenberg discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Eisenberg does not teach a sweatband with logos or other indicia. McConville teaches an absorbent headband 12 with indicia or other design (col.4, lines 4-6). It is known in the art to provide head-worn gear with indicia, thus providing a "walking billboard". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Eisenberg's headband with indicia or other designs as taught by McConville, for promotional purposes, or to display one's sports or other allegiances.

Art Unit: 3765

PREFERRED FORMAT

The following is a preferred format for a response to this Office Action (this would also help in making sure the response is matched to the proper application):

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Art Unit: 3765

[applicant's name]

Examiner: Katherine Moran

Serial No. [#] Filed: [applicant's

filing date]

For: [title of the invention]

RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Date:[applicant's mailing date]

Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of [date mailed by Examiner], please amend the above application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

As required by 37 CFR 1.121, Applicant should identify the location to delete, replace, or add a paragraph. Any replacement or added paragraphs should be in clean form, that is, without markings to indicate the changes that have been made, and also include another version of the replacement paragraph on one or more pages separate from the amendment, marked up to show all the changes relative to the previous version of the paragraph. The changes may be shown by brackets (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter). A marked up version does not have to be supplied for an added paragraph or a deleted paragraph as it is sufficient to state that a particular paragraph has been added, or deleted. If Applicant chooses to submit a substitute

Art Unit: 3765

specification, this should include an instruction to replace the specification including a signed and dated statement that the substitute specification does not include any new matter. Applicant should also include the substitute specification and a marked-up copy of the substitute specification which shows all changes relative to the previous version of the specification. The changes may be shown by brackets (for deleted matter), or underlining (for added matter).

IN THE CLAIMS:

As required by 37 CFR 1.121, amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the claim with all changes (e.g., additions, deletions, modifications) included. A rewritten or newly added claim must be in clean form, without markings to indicate the changes that have been made. A parenthetical expression should follow the claim number indicating the status of the claim as amended or newly added (e.g. "amended", "twice amended", or "new"). If a claim is amended by rewriting the claim with the same number, the amendment must be accompanied by another version of the rewritten claim, on one or more pages separate from the amendment, marked up to show all the changes relative to the previous version of that claim.

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Applicant should follow the instructions given above for specification amendments. If the abstract was noted as missing, applicant is asked to place the Abstract on a separate sheet of paper (as required by MPEP § 608.01(b)). This would avoid a lengthy Examiner's Amendment to do so when and if this application is passed to issue.

REMARKS:

In this section Applicant should explain (in general) what changes were made to the application (Specification, Abstract, Drawings, etc.) and should point out any disagreements with

Art Unit: 3765

the Examiner's findings. Applicant should also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how claims might avoid the references or distinguish from them.

The amendment should then be signed by Applicant and dated.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Following is a suggested format for the certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8© which should be included with all correspondence.

"I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal	e United States Pos	stal
Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and	ner of Patents and	
Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on"		
Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Representative		
	_	
Signature	-	
Date		

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huntington (U.S. 2,051,714), Lazarus (U.S. 2,428,937), Lesley (U.S. 4,517,685), and Yung (US 2002/0112273) teach relevant prior art.

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute

Art Unit: 3765

the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Katherine Moran at (703) 305-0452. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert, may be reached at (703) 305-1025. The official and after final fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kmm

May 14, 2004

Art Unit: 3765

Cmora

Katherine Moran

Primary Examiner, AU 3765

ierme Moran

Page 9